## OXIDE 2014 Falculty Demographics Survey: Gender Results for AY2013-14 (Alpha)

	Total Faculty in Department, AY2013-14				% Gender Assistant Profs AY2013-14			% Gender Associate Profs AY2013-14			% Gender Full Profs AY2013-14			% Gender Faculty AY2013-13	
Institution	# of # of Male			# of # of Male	% Assistant, All	Totals % Assistant, Female	% Assistant, Male	% Associate, All	Totals % Associate, Female	% Associate, Male	% Full,	Totals % Full, Female	% Full, Male	% Faculty, Female	% Faculty, Male
Akron, U of Alabama, U of, Tuscaloosa	2 : 3	0 : 1 1 : 7	1 : 8 1 : 10	3 : 12 3 : 23	33.3% 26.9%	13.3%	20.0%	6.7% 30.8%	0.0% 3.8%	6.7% 26.9%	60.0% 42.3%	6.7% 3.8%	53.3% 38.5%	20.0% 11.5%	80.0% 88.5%
Arizona State U Arizona, U of	0 : 2 3 : 4	6 : 8 3 : 7	2 : 26 3 : 22	8 : 36 9 : 33	4.5% 16.7%	0.0% 7.1%	4.5% 9.5%	31.8% 23.8%	13.6% 7.1%	18.2% 16.7%	63.6% 59.5%	4.5% 7.1%	59.1% 52.4%	18.2% 21.4%	81.8% 78.6%
Arkansas, U of, Fayetteville <sup>a</sup> Binghamton U	0 : 4	0 : 3	2 : 6	2 : 13	26.7%	0.0%	26.7%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	53.3%	13.3%	40.0%	13.3%	86.7%
Boston College Boston U Bowling Green State U	1 : 3 2 : 3 1 : 2	0 : 3 2 : 6 1 : 3	1 : 11 1 : 9 0 : 3	2 : 17 5 : 18 2 : 8	21.1% 21.7% 30.0%	5.3% 8.7% 10.0%	15.8% 13.0% 20.0%	15.8% 34.8% 40.0%	0.0% 8.7% 10.0%	15.8% 26.1% 30.0%	63.2% 43.5% 30.0%	5.3% 4.3% 0.0%	57.9% 39.1% 30.0%	10.5% 21.7% 20.0%	89.5% 78.3% 80.0%
Brandeis U. Brigham Young U. <sup>a</sup>	0 : 2	1 : 0	2 : 6	3 : 8	18.2%	0.0%	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	0.0%	72.7%	18.2%	54.5%	27.3%	72.7%
Brown U Buffalo, U at	2 : 1 2 : 3	1 : 4	0 : 10 3 : 16	3 : 15 5 : 24	16.7% 17.2%	11.1% 6.9%	5.6% 10.3%	27.8% 17.2%	5.6% 0.0%	22.2% 17.2%	55.6% 65.5%	0.0% 10.3%	55.6% 55.2%	16.7% 17.2%	83.3% 82.8%
California Inst. of Tech. California, U of, Berkeley	1 : 4 3 : 6	0 : 0 1 : 1	6 : 28 5 : 30	7 : 32 9 : 37	12.8% 19.6%	2.6% 6.5%	10.3% 13.0%	0.0% 4.3%	0.0% 2.2%	0.0% 2.2%	87.2% 76.1%	15.4% 10.9%	71.8% 65.2%	17.9% 19.6%	82.1% 80.4%
California, U of, Davis <sup>b</sup> California, U of, Irvine <sup>c</sup>	0 : 4 2 : 4	2 : 3 4 : 2	7 : 23 2 : 26	9 : 30 8 : 32	10.3% 15.0%	0.0% 5.0%	10.3% 10.0%	12.8% 15.0%	5.1% 10.0%	7.7% 5.0%	76.9% 70.0%	17.9% 5.0%	59.0% 65.0%	23.1% 20.0%	76.9% 80.0%
California, U of, Los Angeles California, U of, Riverside <sup>c</sup> California, U of, San Diego	2 : 2 3 : 5 1 : 12	1 : 3 1 : 3 1 : 5	8 : 32 2 : 15 5 : 21	11 : 37 6 : 23 7 : 38	8.3% 27.6% 28.9%	4.2% 10.3% 2.2%	4.2% 17.2% 26.7%	8.3% 13.8% 13.3%	2.1% 3.4% 2.2%	6.3% 10.3% 11.1%	83.3% 58.6% 57.8%	16.7% 6.9% 11.1%	66.7% 51.7% 46.7%	22.9% 20.7% 15.6%	77.1% 79.3% 84.4%
California, U of, San Francisco <sup>a</sup> California, U of, Santa Barbara	- : - 1 : 1	1 : 5 - : - 0 : 2	5 : 21 - : - 5 : 23	- : - 6 : 26	6.3%	3.1%	3.1%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	87.5%	15.6%	71.9%	18.8%	81.3%
California, U of, Santa Cruz Carnegie Mellon U	1 : 1	0 : 3	2 : 13 2 : 11	3 : 17 4 : 19	10.0% 13.0%	5.0% 4.3%	5.0% 8.7%	15.0% 30.4%	0.0% 4.3%	15.0% 26.1%	75.0% 56.5%	10.0% 8.7%	65.0% 47.8%	15.0% 17.4%	85.0% 82.6%
Case Western Reserve U Central Florida, U of, Orlando	3 : 3 2 : 5	0 : 4	2 : 8	5 : 15 3 : 17	30.0% 35.0%	15.0% 10.0%	15.0% 25.0%	20.0% 25.0%	0.0%	20.0% 25.0%	50.0% 40.0%	10.0% 5.0%	40.0% 35.0%	25.0% 15.0%	75.0% 85.0%
Chicago, U of Cincinnati, U of (Cincinnati)	0 : 3	0 : 1	2 : 19	2 : 23 5 : 19	12.0% 16.7%	0.0% 4.2%	12.0% 12.5%	4.0% 37.5%	0.0% 8.3%	4.0% 29.2%	84.0% 45.8%	8.0% 8.3%	76.0% 37.5%	8.0% 20.8%	92.0% 79.2%
Clemson U Colorado State U, Fort Collins Colorado, U of, Boulder	0 : 4 3 : 4 1 : 6	1 : 4 2 : 3 1 : 6	1 : 12 4 : 12 5 : 28	2 : 20 9 : 19 7 : 40	18.2% 25.0% 14.9%	0.0% 10.7% 2.1%	18.2% 14.3% 12.8%	22.7% 17.9% 14.9%	4.5% 7.1% 2.1%	18.2% 10.7% 12.8%	59.1% 57.1% 70.2%	4.5% 14.3% 10.6%	54.5% 42.9% 59.6%	9.1% 32.1% 14.9%	90.9% 67.9% 85.1%
Columbia U Connecticut, U of (Storrs)	0 : 3	1 : 5	3 : 13 1 : 10	4 : 21 5 : 23	12.0% 21.4%	0.0%	12.0%	24.0%	4.0% 7.1%	20.0%	64.0% 39.3%	12.0%	52.0% 35.7%	16.0% 17.9%	84.0% 82.1%
Cornell U (Ithaca)  Dartmouth College <sup>d</sup>	2 : 2	0 : 4	2 : 19 1 : 13	4 : 25 2 : 15	13.8% 11.8%	6.9%	6.9% 5.9%	13.8% 5.9%	0.0%	13.8% 5.9%	72.4% 82.4%	6.9% 5.9%	65.5% 76.5%	13.8%	86.2% 88.2%
Delaware, U of, Newark Duke U	3 : 4 3 : 3	1 : 5 1 : 2	2 : 17 0 : 12	6 : 26 4 : 17	21.9% 28.6%	9.4% 14.3%	12.5% 14.3%	18.8% 14.3%	3.1% 4.8%	15.6% 9.5%	59.4% 57.1%	6.3% 0.0%	53.1% 57.1%	18.8% 19.0%	81.3% 81.0%
Duquesne U Emory U	0 : 0 2 : 3	3 : 4 0 : 4	0 : 8	3 : 12 20	0.0% 22.7%	9.1%	0.0% 13.6%	46.7% 18.2%	20.0%	26.7% 18.2%	53.3% 59.1%	0.0%	53.3% 59.1%	20.0% 9.1%	90.9%
Florida State U (Tallahassee) Florida, U of a Geography of Tech (Atlanta)	0 : 6 0 : 0 1 : 3	1 : 8 0 : 0 3 : 7	3 : 17 0 : 0 1 : 22	4 : 31 0 : 0 5 : 32	17.1% — 10.8%	0.0% — 2.7%	17.1% — 8.1%	25.7% — 27.0%	2.9% — 8.1%	22.9% — 18.9%	57.1% — 62.2%	8.6% — 2.7%	48.6% — 59.5%	11.4% — 13.5%	88.6% — 86.5%
Georgia Inst. of Tech. (Atlanta) Georgia State U Georgia, U of, Athens	1 : 3 1 : 5 2 : 3	3 : 7 0 : 6 0 : 7	1 : 22 4 : 11 0 : 15	5 : 32 5 : 22 2 : 25	10.8% 22.2% 18.5%	2.7% 3.7% 7.4%	8.1% 18.5% 11.1%	27.0% 22.2% 25.9%	0.0% 0.0%	18.9% 22.2% 25.9%	55.6% 55.6%	2.7% 14.8% 0.0%	59.5% 40.7% 55.6%	13.5% 18.5% 7.4%	86.5% 81.5% 92.6%
Harvard U Houston, U of, Downtown	2 : 0	0 : 2	4 : 20 0 : 12	6 : 22 1 : 21	7.1%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	0.0%	7.1%	85.7% 54.5%	14.3%	71.4% 54.5%	21.4% 4.5%	78.6% 95.5%
Hunter College Illinois, U of, Chicago	1 : 1 2 : 4	1 : 2	5 : 6 1 : 9	7 : 9 3 : 21	12.5% 25.0%	6.3% 8.3%	6.3% 16.7%	18.8% 33.3%	6.3% 0.0%	12.5% 33.3%	68.8% 41.7%	31.3% 4.2%	37.5% 37.5%	43.8% 12.5%	56.3% 87.5%
Illinois, U of, Urbana-Champaign <sup>de</sup> Indiana U, Bloomington	0 : 4	0 : 3	4 : 18 2 : 16	4 : 25 7 : 29	13.8% 27.8%	0.0%	13.8%	10.3%	2.8%	10.3%	75.9% 50.0%	13.8%	62.1% 44.4%	13.8%	86.2% 80.6%
Iowa State U Iowa, U of <sup>5</sup> Jackson State U	0 : 7 - : - 0 : 2	2 : 1 - 1 1 : 4	3 : 14 - : - 1 : 7	5 : 22 - : - 2 : 13	25.9% — 13.3%	0.0% — 0.0%	25.9% — 13.3%	11.1% — 33.3%	7.4% — 6.7%	3.7% — 26.7%	63.0% — 53.3%	11.1%	51.9% — 46.7%	18.5% — 13.3%	81.5% — 86.7%
Johns Hopkins U <sup>f</sup> Kansas, U of	1 : 2	1 : 2	0 : 12	2 : 16 6 : 21	16.7%	5.6%	11.1%	16.7% 29.6%	5.6%	11.1% 18.5%	66.7% 55.6%	0.0% 11.1%	66.7% 44.4%	11.1% 22.2%	88.9% 77.8%
Kent State U <sup>E</sup> Kentucky, U of (Lexington)	4 : 4	0 : 4	2 : 11	6 : 19	32.0%	16.0%	16.0%	16.0%	0.0%	16.0%	52.0%	8.0%	44.0%	24.0%	76.0%
Louisiana State U (Baton Rouge) Maryland, U of, Baltimore County	2 : 5	1 : 5 2 : 3	3 : 12 1 : 5	6 : 22 4 : 13	25.0% 35.3%	7.1% 5.9%	17.9% 29.4%	21.4% 29.4%	3.6% 11.8%	17.9% 17.6%	53.6% 35.3%	10.7% 5.9%	42.9% 29.4%	21.4% 23.5%	78.6% 76.5%
Maryland, U of, College Park <sup>h</sup> Massachusetts Inst. of Tech.	0 : 4	0 : 6	6 : 20 3 : 16	6 : 30 5 : 22	11.1% 25.9%	0.0% 3.7%	11.1% 22.2%	16.7% 3.7%	0.0% 3.7%	16.7% 0.0%	72.2% 70.4%	16.7% 11.1%	55.6% 59.3%	16.7% 18.5%	83.3% 81.5%
Massachusetts, U of, Amherst Michigan State U	2 : 4 0 : 8 4 : 7	3 : 2 1 : 8 1 : 3	0 : 15 2 : 26 5 : 20	5 : 21 3 : 42 10 : 30	23.1% 17.8% 27.5%	7.7% 0.0% 10.0%	15.4% 17.8% 17.5%	19.2% 20.0% 10.0%	11.5% 2.2% 2.5%	7.7% 17.8% 7.5%	57.7% 62.2% 62.5%	0.0% 4.4% 12.5%	57.7% 57.8% 50.0%	19.2% 6.7% 25.0%	80.8% 93.3% 75.0%
Michigan, U of, Ann Arbor Minnesota, U of, Twin Cities Mississippi State U (Starkville) <sup>a</sup>	3 : 6	3 : 3	2 : 22	8 : 31	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	15.4%	7.7%	7.7%	61.5%	5.1%	56.4%	20.5%	79.5%
Montana State U, Bozeman Nebraska, U of, Lincoln	1 : 1	0 : 1	3 : 10 1 : 13	4 : 12 3 : 21	12.5% 25.0%	6.3% 4.2%	6.3% 20.8%	6.3% 16.7%	0.0% 4.2%	6.3% 12.5%	81.3% 58.3%	18.8% 4.2%	62.5% 54.2%	25.0% 12.5%	75.0% 87.5%
Nevada, U of, Las Vegas New Mexico State U (Las Cruces)	1 : 2	1 : 4 2 : 2	0 : 9	2 : 15 3 : 15	17.6% 22.2%	5.9% 0.0%	11.8% 22.2%	29.4% 22.2%	5.9% 11.1%	23.5% 11.1%	52.9% 55.6%	0.0% 5.6%	52.9% 50.0%	11.8% 16.7%	88.2% 83.3%
New Orleans, U of New York U	0 : 1	0 : 0	0 : 7	0 : 8 3 : 19	12.5% 18.2%	0.0% 4.5%	12.5% 13.6%	0.0% 13.6%	0.0% 4.5%	0.0% 9.1%	87.5% 68.2%	0.0% 4.5%	87.5% 63.6%	0.0% 13.6%	100.0% 86.4%
North Carolina State U North Carolina, U of, Chapel Hill North Dakota State U (Fargo) <sup>3</sup>	3 : 9	2 : 4	1 : 15 4 : 19	5 : 23 8 : 30	21.4% 31.6%	7.1% 7.9%	14.3% 23.7%	21.4% 7.9%	7.1% 2.6%	14.3% 5.3%	57.1% 60.5%	3.6% 10.5%	53.6% 50.0%	17.9% 21.1%	82.1% 78.9%
North Texas, U of Northeastern U	0 : 2	0 : 2	2 : 12 3 : 12	2 : 16 4 : 19	11.1% 4.3%	0.0%	11.1% 4.3%	11.1% 30.4%	0.0%	11.1% 26.1%	77.8% 65.2%	11.1% 13.0%	66.7% 52.2%	11.1% 17.4%	88.9% 82.6%
Northwestern U Notre Dame, U of	1 : 4 2 : 6	1 : 1 2 : 5	2 : 21 2 : 21	4 : 26 6 : 32	16.7% 21.1%	3.3% 5.3%	13.3% 15.8%	6.7% 18.4%	3.3% 5.3%	3.3% 13.2%	76.7% 60.5%	6.7% 5.3%	70.0% 55.3%	13.3% 15.8%	86.7% 84.2%
Ohio State U (Columbus) Oklahoma, U of (Norman)	3 : 6	2 : 7 1 : 5	7 : 22 3 : 10	12 : 35 5 : 19	19.1% 20.8%	6.4% 4.2%	12.8% 16.7%	19.1% 25.0%	4.3% 4.2%	14.9% 20.8%	61.7% 54.2%	14.9% 12.5%	46.8% 41.7%	25.5% 20.8%	74.5% 79.2%
Old Dominion U Oregon State U (Corvallis)	0 : 0 2 : 8	3 : 6 2 : 2	1 : 5	4 : 11 5 : 18	0.0% 43.5%	0.0% 8.7%	0.0% 34.8%	60.0% 17.4%	20.0% 8.7%	40.0% 8.7%	40.0% 39.1%	6.7% 4.3%	33.3% 34.8%	26.7% 21.7%	73.3% 78.3%
Oregon, U of Pennsylvania State U (Univ. Park)	0 : 5 1 : 6 1 : 4	1 : 2 0 : 6 0 : 4	5 : 15 3 : 18 3 : 16	6 : 22 4 : 30 4 : 24	17.9% 20.6% 17.9%	0.0% 2.9% 3.6%	17.9% 17.6% 14.3%	10.7% 17.6% 14.3%	3.6% 0.0% 0.0%	7.1% 17.6% 14.3%	71.4% 61.8% 67.9%	17.9% 8.8% 10.7%	53.6% 52.9% 57.1%	21.4% 11.8% 14.3%	78.6% 88.2% 85.7%
Pennsylvania, U of Pittsburgh, U of, Pittsburgh Portland State U	1 : 4 2 : 8 3 : 3	0 : 4 2 : 6 0 : 1	3 : 16 1 : 13 1 : 8	4 : 24 5 : 27 4 : 12	17.9% 31.3% 37.5%	3.6% 6.3% 18.8%	14.3% 25.0% 18.8%	14.3% 25.0% 6.3%	6.3% 0.0%	14.3% 18.8% 6.3%	67.9% 43.8% 56.3%	3.1% 6.3%	57.1% 40.6% 50.0%	14.3% 15.6% 25.0%	85.7% 84.4% 75.0%
Princeton U Puerto Rico, U of, Río Piedras	3 : 3 1 : 3 3 : 2	2 : 1	1 : 8 1 : 17 4 : 13	4 : 12 4 : 21 7 : 18	16.0% 20.0%	4.0% 12.0%	12.0% 8.0%	12.0% 12.0%	8.0% 0.0%	4.0% 12.0%	72.0% 68.0%	4.0%	68.0% 52.0%	16.0% 28.0%	75.0% 84.0% 72.0%
Purdue U, West Lafayette Rensselaer Polytech. Inst.	3 : 4 0 : 1	4 : 4	5 : 21 1 : 11	12 : 29 2 : 13	17.1% 6.7%	7.3% 0.0%	9.8% 6.7%	19.5% 13.3%	9.8% 6.7%	9.8% 6.7%	63.4% 80.0%	12.2% 6.7%	51.2% 73.3%	29.3% 13.3%	70.7% 86.7%
Rice U Rochester, U of	1 : 2 0 : 4	0 : 3 0 : 2	2 : 17 2 : 11	3 : 22 2 : 17	12.0% 21.1%	4.0% 0.0%	8.0% 21.1%	12.0% 10.5%	0.0%	12.0% 10.5%	76.0% 68.4%	8.0% 10.5%	68.0% 57.9%	12.0% 10.5%	88.0% 89.5%
Rockefeller U*  Rutgers U, New Brunswick  South Conding U of Columbia	0 : 1	2 : 7	8 : 25 2 : 17	- : - 10 : 33 8 : 27	2.3% 25.7%	0.0% 8.6%	2.3% 17.1%	20.9% 20.0%	4.7% 8.6%	16.3% 11.4%	76.7% 54.3%	18.6% 5.7%	- 58.1% 48.6%	23.3% 22.9%	76.7% 77.1%
South Carolina, U of, Columbia South Dakota, U of <sup>a</sup> South Florida. U of <sup>a</sup>	3 : 6	3 : 4	2 : 17	8 : 27 - : -	25.7% — —	8.6% — —	17.1%	20.0%	8.6% — —	11.4%	34.376	5.7% —	40.07b	22.9% - -	77.1%
Southern California, U of Southern Mississippi, U of	1 : 6	0 : 5	2 : 13 1 : 1	3 : 24 3 : 9	25.9% 50.0%	3.7% 8.3%	22.2% 41.7%	18.5% 33.3%	0.0% 8.3%	18.5% 25.0%	55.6% 16.7%	7.4% 8.3%	48.1% 8.3%	11.1% 25.0%	88.9% 75.0%
Stanford U Stony Brook U	3 : 4	0 : 3 3 : 4	1 : 14 2 : 18	4 : 21 6 : 27	28.0% 18.2%	12.0% 3.0%	16.0% 15.2%	12.0% 21.2%	0.0% 9.1%	12.0% 12.1%	60.0% 60.6%	4.0% 6.1%	56.0% 54.5%	16.0% 18.2%	84.0% 81.8%
Temple U <sup>a</sup> Tennessee, U of, Knoxville	1 : 3	0 : 3	1 : 18	2 : 24	15.4%	3.8%	11.5%	11.5%	0.0%	11.5%	73.1%	3.8%	69.2%	7.7%	92.3%
Texas A&M U, College Station Texas Tech U	0 : 1 0 : 6 1 : 6	1 : 4 0 : 9 0 : 4	5 : 25 2 : 10 0 : 8	6 : 30 2 : 25 1 : 18	2.8% 22.2% 36.8%	0.0% 0.0% 5.3%	2.8% 22.2% 31.6%	13.9% 33.3% 21.1%	2.8% 0.0% 0.0%	11.1% 33.3% 21.1%	83.3% 44.4% 42.1%	13.9% 7.4% 0.0%	69.4% 37.0% 42.1%	16.7% 7.4% 5.3%	83.3% 92.6% 94.7%
Texas, U of, Arlington Texas, U of, Austin Texas, U of, El Paso	1 : 6 2 : 5 1 : 5	0 : 4 0 : 3 2 : 5	0 : 8 1 : 24 0 : 7	1 : 18 3 : 32 3 : 17	36.8% 20.0% 30.0%	5.3% 5.7% 5.0%	31.6% 14.3% 25.0%	21.1% 8.6% 35.0%	0.0% 0.0% 10.0%	21.1% 8.6% 25.0%	42.1% 71.4% 35.0%	0.0% 2.9% 0.0%	42.1% 68.6% 35.0%	5.3% 8.6% 15.0%	94.7% 91.4% 85.0%
Texas, U of, El Paso Texas, U of, MD Anderson Cancer Center* Tufts U	2 : 4	0 : 2	0 : 7 - : - 2 : 5	3 : 1/ - : - 4 : 11	40.0%	13.3%	25.0%	13.3%	0.0%	13.3%	46.7%	_ 13.3%	33.3%	26.7%	73.3%
Utah, U of Vanderbilt U <sup>c</sup>	2 : 4 1 : 0	2 : 4 1 : 4	3 : 17 1 : 13	7 : 25 3 : 17	18.8% 5.0%	6.3% 5.0%	12.5% 0.0%	18.8% 25.0%	6.3% 5.0%	12.5% 20.0%	62.5% 70.0%	9.4% 5.0%	53.1% 65.0%	21.9% 15.0%	78.1% 85.0%
Virginia Commonwealth U Virginia Polytech. Inst. & State U (Blacksburg)	5 : 6	2 : 5	3 : 5	10 : 16 6 : 25	42.3% 12.9%	19.2% 6.5%	23.1% 6.5%	26.9% 29.0%	7.7% 3.2%	19.2% 25.8%	30.8% 58.1%	11.5% 9.7%	19.2% 48.4%	38.5% 19.4%	61.5% 80.6%
Virginia, U of (Charlottesville) Washington State Us Washington II in St. Louis'	0 : 2 - : - 0 : 4	2 : 2 - : - 2 : 6	1 : 14 - : - 0 : 11	3 : 18 - : - 2 : 21	9.5% — 17.4%	0.0% — 0.0%	9.5% — 17.4%	19.0% — 34.8%	9.5% — 8.7%	9.5% — 26.1%	71.4% — 47.8%	4.8% — 0.0%	66.7% — 47.8%	14.3% — 8.7%	85.7% — 91.3%
Washington U in St. Louis <sup>c</sup> Washington, U of, Seattle Wayne State U <sup>c</sup>	2 : 9	2 : 6 0 : 3 3 : 3	0 : 11 3 : 18 3 : 13	2 : 21 5 : 30 9 : 20	17.4% 31.4% 24.1%	5.7% 10.3%	17.4% 25.7% 13.8%	34.8% 8.6% 20.7%	0.0% 10.3%	26.1% 8.6% 10.3%	47.8% 60.0% 55.2%	8.6% 10.3%	47.8% 51.4% 44.8%	8.7% 14.3% 31.0%	91.3% 85.7% 69.0%
Wisconsin, U of, Madison Wisconsin, U of, Milwaukee	2 : 5 3 : 2	0 : 3	4 : 24 1 : 7	6 : 32 5 : 17	18.4% 22.7%	5.3% 13.6%	13.2% 9.1%	7.9% 40.9%	0.0% 4.5%	7.9% 36.4%	73.7% 36.4%	10.5% 4.5%	63.2% 31.8%	15.8% 22.7%	84.2% 77.3%
Yale U Cumulative total (#	0 : 3	1 : 2	1 : 16 251 : 1592	2 : 21 526 : 2443	13.0%	0.0%	13.0%	13.0%	4.3%	8.7%	73.9%	4.3%	69.6%	8.7%	91.3%
departments) <sup>i</sup> Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within professional rank	26.4% : 73.6%	22.3% : 77.7%	13.6% : 86.4%	17.7% : 82.3%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within entire department	5.1% : 14.2%	4.1% : 14.4%	8.5% : 53.6%	=	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative % of faculty, within professional rank	19.4%	18.6%	62.1%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
NOTE: This data reflects the numb			r h ht t												



<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>a</sup> Not included in survey pool for

GURM calculations do not includ

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>o</sup> Did not reply to requests for <sup>f</sup> Race-ethnicity of 1 male full

Race-ethnicity of 1 male full

g URM calculations do not inclui

Declined to participate
Rank is based on including the

Totals across all races-ethnicities

the 10 faculty listed as multi-race, 7 individuals are not included in URM calculations because their races-ethnicities were