OXIDE 2015 Falculty Demographics Survey: Gender Results for AY2014-15 (NSF-14)

Institution	Total Faculty in Department, AY2014-15								% Gender Assistant Profs AY2014-15			% Gender Associate Profs AY2014-15			% Gender Full Profs AY2014-15			% Gender Faculty AY2013-13	
	Assistant		Associate		Full		Totals		Totals			Totals			Totals			Totals	
	# of Female	# of Male	# of Female	# of Male	# of Female	# of Male	# of Female	# of Male	% Assistant, All	% Assistant, Female	% Assistant, Male	% Associate, All	% Associate, Female	% Associate, Male	% Full, All	% Full, Female	% Full, Male	% Faculty, Female	% Faculty, Male
Akron, U of	2	4	0	1	1	8	3	13	37.5%	12.5%	25.0%	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	56.3%	6.3%	50.0%	18.8%	81.3%
Alabama, U of, Tuscaloosa	0	4	2	7	1	11	3	22	16.0%	0.0%	16.0%	36.0%	8.0%	28.0%	48.0%	4.0%	44.0%	12.0%	88.0%
Albany U[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Arizona State U	0	8	7	6	2	24	9	38	17.0%	0.0%	17.0%	27.7%	14.9%	12.8%	55.3%	4.3%	51.1%	19.1%	80.9%
Arizona, U of	3	4	3	7	2	21	8	32	17.5%	7.5%	10.0%	25.0%	7.5%	17.5%	57.5%	5.0%	52.5%	20.0%	80.0%
Arkansas, U of, Fayettevillea	1	3	1	8	2	9	4	20	16.7%	4.2%	12.5%	37.5%	4.2%	33.3%	45.8%	8.3%	37.5%	16.7%	83.3%
Binghamton U	1	4	0	2	2	9	3	15	27.8%	5.6%	22.2%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	61.1%	11.1%	50.0%	16.7%	83.3%
Boston College	1	3	0	3	0	0	1	6	57.1%	14.3%	42.9%	42.9%	0.0%	42.9%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	14.3%	85.7%
Boston U	2	2	2	6	1	10	5	18	17.4%	8.7%	8.7%	34.8%	8.7%	26.1%	47.8%	4.3%	43.5%	21.7%	78.3%
Brandeis U.	0	2	1	0	2	7	3	9	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	75.0%	16.7%	58.3%	25.0%	75.0%
Brown U	2	1	1	3	0	9	3	13	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	0.0%	56.3%	18.8%	81.3%
Buffalo, U at	2	4	0	3	3	15	5	22	22.2%	7.4%	14.8%	11.1%	0.0%	11.1%	66.7%	11.1%	55.6%	18.5%	81.5%
California Inst. of Tech.	0	4	0	0	7	30	7	34	9.8%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90.2%	17.1%	73.2%	17.1%	82.9%
California, U of, Berkeley	3	5	1	0	5	31	9	36	17.8%	6.7%	11.1%	2.2%	2.2%	0.0%	80.0%	11.1%	68.9%	20.0%	80.0%
California, U of, Davis	0	4	2	3	6	24	8	31	10.3%	0.0%	10.3%	12.8%	5.1%	7.7%	76.9%	15.4%	61.5%	20.5%	79.5%
California, U of, Irvine	2	4	4	2	2	26	8	32	15.0%	5.0%	10.0%	15.0%	10.0%	5.0%	70.0%	5.0%	65.0%	20.0%	80.0%
California, U of, Los Angeles	2	4	1	3	8	32	11	39	12.0%	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	2.0%	6.0%	80.0%	16.0%	64.0%	22.0%	78.0%
California, U of, Riverside	2	3	2	5	2	15	6	23	22.6%	6.5%	16.1%	22.6%	6.5%	16.1%	54.8%	6.5%	48.4%	19.4%	80.6%
California, U of, San Diego	1	8	2	8	5	31	8	47	16.4%	1.8%	14.5%	18.2%	3.6%	14.5%	65.5%	9.1%	56.4%	14.5%	85.5%
California, U of, San Francisco[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
California, U of, Santa Barbara[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
California, U of, Santa Cruz	1	2	0	4	2	11	3	17	15.0%	5.0%	10.0%	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	65.0%	10.0%	55.0%	15.0%	85.0%
Carnegie Mellon U[c]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Central Florida, U of, Orlando	3	3	1	11	1	7	5	21	23.1%	11.5%	11.5%	46.2%	3.8%	42.3%	30.8%	3.8%	26.9%	19.2%	80.8%
Chicago, U of	0	5	0	3	3	17	3	22	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	80.0%	12.0%	68.0%	12.0%	88.0%
Cincinnati, U of (Cincinnati)	1	3	2	8	2	10	5	21	15.4%	3.8%	11.5%	38.5%	7.7%	30.8%	46.2%	7.7%	38.5%	19.2%	80.8%
Clemson U[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Colorado State U, Fort Collins	2	4	2	5	4	12	8	21	20.7%	6.9%	13.8%	24.1%	6.9%	17.2%	55.2%	13.8%	41.4%	27.6%	72.4%
Colorado, U of, Boulder	2	5	1	7	5	23	8	35	16.3%	4.7%	11.6%	18.6%	2.3%	16.3%	65.1%	11.6%	53.5%	18.6%	81.4%
Columbia U	0	2	0	5	3	14	3	21	8.3%	0.0%	8.3%	20.8%	0.0%	20.8%	70.8%	12.5%	58.3%	12.5%	87.5%
Connecticut, U of (Storrs)	1	4	1	10	1	10	3	24	18.5%	3.7%	14.8%	40.7%	3.7%	37.0%	40.7%	3.7%	37.0%	11.1%	88.9%
Cornell U (Ithaca)	2	3	0	4	2	19	4	26	16.7%	6.7%	10.0%	13.3%	0.0%	13.3%	70.0%	6.7%	63.3%	13.3%	86.7%
CUNY, City C	1	2	1	2	4	7	6	11	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	64.7%	23.5%	41.2%	35.3%	64.7%
Delaware, U of, Newark	3	4	1	5	2	16	6	25	22.6%	9.7%	12.9%	19.4%	3.2%	16.1%	58.1%	6.5%	51.6%	19.4%	80.6%
Duke U	4	3	1	2	0	12	5	17	31.8%	18.2%	13.6%	13.6%	4.5%	9.1%	54.5%	0.0%	54.5%	22.7%	77.3%
Duquesne U	0	0	3	4	0	8	3	12	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	46.7%	20.0%	26.7%	53.3%	0.0%	53.3%	20.0%	80.0%
Emory U	2	4	1	3	0	14	3	21	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	4.2%	12.5%	58.3%	0.0%	58.3%	12.5%	87.5%
Florida State U (Tallahassee)	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Florida, U ofb	1	3	4	10	1	15	6	28	11.8%	2.9%	8.8%	41.2%	11.8%	29.4%	47.1%	2.9%	44.1%	17.6%	82.4%
Georgia Inst. of Tech. (Atlanta)	2	7	3	5	1	22	6	29	11.4%	5.7%	5.7%	22.9%	8.6%	14.3%	65.7%	2.9%	62.9%	17.1%	82.9%
Georgia State U[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Georgia, U of, Athens	2	2	0	8	0	16	2	26	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	28.6%	0.0%	28.6%	57.1%	0.0%	57.1%	7.1%	92.9%
Harvard U	2	0	0	0	4	22	6	22	7.1%	7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	92.9%	14.3%	78.6%	21.4%	78.6%
Houston, U of, Downtown[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Hunter College	0	1	2	3	4	6	6	10	6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	31.3%	12.5%	18.8%	62.5%	25.0%	37.5%	37.5%	62.5%
Illinois, U of, Chicago	3	4	0	7	1	8	4	19	30.4%	13.0%	17.4%	30.4%	0.0%	30.4%	39.1%	4.3%	34.8%	17.4%	82.6%
Illinois, U of, Urbana-Champaign	2	7	0	1	6	19	8	27	25.7%	5.7%	20.0%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	71.4%	17.1%	54.3%	22.9%	77.1%
Indiana U, Bloomington	2	5	2	7	2	17	6	29	20.0%	5.7%	14.3%	25.7%	5.7%	20.0%	54.3%	5.7%	48.6%	17.1%	82.9%
Iowa State U	0	8	2	2	2	11	4	21	32.0%	0.0%	32.0%	16.0%	8.0%	8.0%	52.0%	8.0%	44.0%	16.0%	84.0%
Iowa, U of	5	2	3	10	2	8	10	20	23.3%	16.7%	6.7%	43.3%	10.0%	33.3%	33.3%	6.7%	26.7%	33.3%	66.7%
Jackson State U	1	1	1	4	1	6	3	11	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%	35.7%	7.1%	28.6%	50.0%	7.1%	42.9%	21.4%	78.6%
Johns Hopkins U	1	2	1	2	0	11	2	15	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	17.6%	5.9%	11.8%	64.7%	0.0%	64.7%	11.8%	88.2%
Kansas, U of	0	5	3	6	3	11	6	22	17.9%	0.0%	17.9%	32.1%	10.7%	21.4%	50.0%	10.7%	39.3%	21.4%	78.6%
Kent State U[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Kentucky, U of, Lexington	3	7	1	4	2	11	6	22	35.7%	10.7%	25.0%	17.9%	3.6%	14.3%	46.4%	7.1%	39.3%	21.4%	78.6%
Louisiana State U (Baton Rouge)	2	5	1	7	3	10	6	22	25.0%	7.1%	17.9%	28.6%	3.6%	25.0%	46.4%	10.7%	35.7%	21.4%	78.6%
Maryland, U of, Baltimore County	2	5	2	3	1	7	5	15	35.0%	10.0%	25.0%	25.0%	10.0%	15.0%	40.0%	5.0%	35.0%	25.0%	75.0%
Maryland, U of, College Park	0	4	1	6	6	23	7	33	10.0%	0.0%	10.0%	17.5%	2.5%	15.0%	72.5%	15.0%	57.5%	17.5%	82.5%
Massachusetts Inst. of Tech.	0	8	1	0	5	16	6	24	26.7%	0.0%	26.7%	3.3%	3.3%	0.0%	70.0%	16.7%	53.3%	20.0%	80.0%
Massachusetts, U of, Amherst	2	3	2	1	1	14	5	18	21.7%	8.7%	13.0%	13.0%	8.7%	4.3%	65.2%	4.3%	60.9%	21.7%	78.3%
Massachusetts, U of, Lowell[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Michigan State U	0	5	1	6	2	24	3	35	13.2%	0.0%	13.2%	18.4%	2.6%	15.8%	68.4%	5.3%	63.2%	7.9%	92.1%
Michigan, U of, Ann Arbor	4	5	1	5	4	16	9	26	25.7%	11.4%	14.3%	17.1%	2.9%	14.3%	57.1%	11.4%	45.7%	25.7%	74.3%
Minnesota, U of, Twin Cities	2	4	4	3	3	24	9	31	15.0%	5.0%	10.0%	17.5%	10.0%	7.5%	67.5%	7.5%	60.0%	22.5%	77.5%
Mississippi State U (Starkville)	2	2	0	5	0	5	2	12	28.6%	14.3%	14.3%	35.7%	0.0%	35.7%	35.7%	0.0%	35.7%	14.3%	85.7%
Missouri, U of, Columbia	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Montana State U, Bozeman	1	2	0	2	3	11	4	15	15.8%	5.3%	10.5%	10.5%	0.0%	10.5%	73.7%	15.8%	57.9%	21.1%	78.9%
Nebraska, U of, Lincoln[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
New Mexico State U (Las Cruces)	1	4	2	2	1	8	4	14	27.8%	5.6%	22.2%	22.2%	11.1%	11.1%	50.0%	5.6%	44.4%	22.2%	77.8%
New York U	2	3	1	2	1	15	4	20	20.8%	8.3%	12.5%	12.5%	4.2%	8.3%	66.7%	4.2%	62.5%	16.7%	83.3%
North Carolina State U	2	5	2	4	1	15	5	24	24.1%	6.9%	17.2%	20.7%	6.9%	13.8%	55.2%	3.4%	51.7%	17.2%	82.8%
North Carolina, U of, Chapel Hill	3	9	1	3	4	21	8	33	29.3%	7.3%	22.0%	9.8%	2.4%	7.3%	61.0%	9.8%	51.2%	19.5%	80.5%
North Dakota State U (Fargo)[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
North Texas, U of	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Northeastern U	0	1	2	8	3	10	5	19	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%	41.7%	8.3%	33.3%	54.2%	12.5%	41.7%	20.8%	79.2%
Northwestern U	1	4	1	1	6	17	8	22	16.7%	3.3%	13.3%	6.7%	3.3%	3.3%	76.7%	20.0%	56.7%	26.7%	73.3%
Notre Dame, U of	2	4	1	7	3	20	6	31	16.2%	5.4%	10.8%	21.6%	2.7%	18.9%	62.2%	8.1%	54.1%	16.2%	83.8%
Ohio State U (Columbus)	3	8	3	3	7	26	13	37	22.0%	6.0%	16.0%	12.0%	6.0%	6.0%	66.0%	14.0%	52.0%	26.0%	74.0%
Oklahoma State U (Stillwater)	2	6	1	1	1	10	4	17	38.1%	9.5%	28.6%	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	52.4%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%	81.0%
Oklahoma, U of (Norman)	3	6	1	4	3	9	7	19	34.6%	11.5%	23.1%	19.2%	3.8%	15.4%	46.2%	11.5%	34.6%	26.9%	73.1%
Oregon, U of[b]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Pennsylvania State U (Univ. Park)	1	4	0	9	3	17	4	30	14.7%	2.9%	11.8%	26.5%	0.0%	26.5%	58.8%	8.8%	50.0%	11.8%	88.2%
Pennsylvania, U of	2	3	0	5	3	15	5	23	17.9%	7.1%	10.7%	17.9%	0.0%	17.9%	64.3%	10.7%	53.6%	17.9%	82.1%
Pittsburgh, U of, Pittsburgh	2	7	2	7	1	13	5	27	28.1%	6.3%	21.9%	28.1%	6.3%	21.9%	43.8%	3.1%	40.6%	15.6%	84.4%
Princeton U	2	3	2	0	1	19	5	22	18.5%	7.4%	11.1%	7.4%	7.4%	0.0%	74.1%	3.7%	70.4%	18.5%	81.5%
Puerto Rico, U of, Río Piedras	2	3	2	3	4	12	8	18	19.2%	7.7%	11.5%	19.2%	7.7%	11.5%	61.5%	15.4%	46.2%	30.8%	69.2%
Purdue U, West Lafayette	4	4	4	6	5	21	13	31	18.2%	9.1%	9.1%	22.7%	9.1%	13.6%	59.1%	11.4%	47.7%	29.5%	70.5%
Rensselaer Polytech. Inst.[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rhode Island, U of	1	2	0	1	1	8	2	11	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	69.2%	7.7%	61.5%	15.4%	84.6%
Rice U	0	2	1	3	2	15	3	20	8.7%	0.0%	8.7%	17.4%	4.3%	13.0%	73.9%	8.7%	65.2%	13.0%	87.0%
Rochester, U of	0	2	0	4	2	13	2	19	9.5%	0.0%	9.5%	19.0%	0.0%	19.0%	71.4%	9.5%	61.9%	9.5%	90.5%
Rockefeller U[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Rutgers U, New Brunswick	1	1	4	4	11	27	16	32	4.2%	2.1%	2.1%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	79.2%	22.9%	56.3%	33.3%	66.7%
South Carolina, U of, Columbia	3	6	3	5	2	16	8	27	25.7%	8.6%	17.1%	22.9%	8.6%	14.3%	51.4%	5.7%	45.7%	22.9%	77.1%
South Dakota, U of	0	3	0	5	1	4	1	12	23.1%	0.0%	23.1%	38.5%	0.0%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%	30.8%	7.7%	92.3%
South Florida, U of[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Southern California, U of	1	7	0	8	2	20	3	35	21.1%	2.6%	18.4%	21.1%	0.0%	21.1%	57.9%	5.3%	52.6%	7.9%	92.1%
Southern Mississippi, U of	1	5	1	3	1	2	3	10	46.2%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	76.9%
Stanford U	2	3	2	6	1	17	5	27	18.8%	6.3%	12.5%	25.0%	6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	3.1%	53.1%	15.6%	84.4%
Stony Brook U	2	5	2	5	3	17	7	27	20.6%	5.9%	14.7%	20.6%	5.9%	14.7%	58.8%	8.8%	50.0%	20.6%	79.4%
Temple U[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tennessee, U of, Knoxville	2	2	0	4	1	15	3	21	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%	4.2%	62.5%	12.5%	87.5%
Texas A&M U, College Station	0	3	1	4	0	0	1	7	37.5%	0.0%	37.5%	62.5%	12.5%	50.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	12.5%	87.5%
Texas Tech U[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Texas, U of, Arlington[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Texas, U of, Austin	1	4	2	2	1	19	4	25	17.2%	3.4%	13.8%	13.8%	6.9%	6.9%	69.0%	3.4%	65.5%	13.8%	86.2%
Texas, U of, Dallas[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Texas, U of, El Paso[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Tufts U	2	3	0	3	2	7	4	13	29.4%	11.8%	17.6%	17.6%	0.0%	17.6%	52.9%	11.8%	41.2%	23.5%	76.5%
Utah, U of	4	4	2	3	3	18	9	25	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	14.7%	5.9%	8.8%	61.8%	8.8%	52.9%	26.5%	73.5%
Vanderbilt U	1	1	1	3	1	13	3	17	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	5.0%	15.0%	70.0%	5.0%	65.0%	15.0%	85.0%
Virginia Commonwealth U	1	1	2	6	3	3	6	10	12.5%	6.3%	6.3%	50.0%	12.5%	37.5%	37.5%	18.8%	18.8%	37.5%	62.5%
Virginia Polytech. Inst. & State U	2	3	1	8	3	14	6	25	16.1%	6.5%	9.7%	29.0%	3.2%	25.8%	54.8%	9.7%	45.2%	19.4%	80.6%
Virginia, U of (Charlottesville)	2	3	2	2	1	14	5	19	20.8%	8.3%	12.5%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	62.5%	4.2%	58.3%	20.8%	79.2%
Washington State U	2	4	1	4	3	9	6	17	26.1%	8.7%	17.4%	21.7%	4.3%	17.4%	52.2%	13.0%	39.1%	26.1%	73.9%
Washington U in St. Louisc	0	5	2	6	0	11	2	22	20.8%	0.0%	20.8%	33.3%	8.3%	25.0%	45.8%	0.0%	45.8%	8.3%	91.7%
Washington, U of, Seattle	1	10	1	4	3	16	5	30	31.4%	2.9%	28.6%	14.3%	2.9%	11.4%	54.3%	8.6%	45.7%	14.3%	85.7%
Wayne State U	2	4	3	4	3	12	8	20	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	25.0%	10.7%	14.3%	53.6%	10.7%	42.9%	28.6%	71.4%
Wisconsin, U of, Madison	2	5	0	3	4	24	6	32	18.4%	5.3%	13.2%	7.9%	0.0%	7.9%	73.7%	10.5%	63.2%	15.8%	84.2%
Wisconsin, U of, Milwaukee[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Xaiver U (Louisiana)[a]	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Yale U	1	5	0	1	2	15	3	21	25.0%	4.2%	20.8%	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%	70.8%	8.3%	62.5%	12.5%	87.5%
Cumulative total (# departments)	151	377	134	410	240	1402	525	2189	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within professional rank	28.6%	71.4%	24.6%	75.4%	14.6%	85.4%	19.3%	80.7%	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within entire department	5.6%	13.9%	4.9%	15.1%	8.8%	51.7%	—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—
Cumulative % of faculty, within professional rank	19.5%		20.0%		60.5%		—		—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—	—

NOTE: Data reflects the numbers of research-active tenured and tenure-track faculty with at least a 50% appointment in the department most closely identified as chemistry. This is a data set is from schools ranked in the top 75. Institutions rankings were identified by the National Science Foundation, based on spending by chemistry research programs in fiscal year 2014.

[a] Did not reply to requests for information
[b] Declined to participate
[c] Of the NSF ranked top 75 schools, 7 schools did not participate in the faculty demographic survey.