Assistant Associate Full Totals Totals Totals Totals Totals Totals			Total Faculty in Department, AY2014-15				% Gender Assistant Profs AY2014-15			% Gender Associate Profs AY2014-15			% Gender Full Profs AY2014-15			% Gender Faculty AY2013-13	
		Institution		Associate	Full		% Assistant,	Totals	% Assistant,	% Associate,	Totals	% Associate,	% Full,	Totals	% Full,	To	tals % Faculty,
The content of the	1	California Inst. of Tech.			7 : 30	7 : 34	9.8%	0.0%	9.8%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	90.2%	17.1%	73.2%	17.1%	82.9%
The control of the	3	Northwestern U															
The content of the	5																
Control of the cont	7		2 : 0				- 7.1%	- 7.1%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	92.9%	14.3%	- 78.6%	- 21.4%	- 78.6%
Martin	8																
Martin M		Michigan, U of, Ann Arbor															
Lange Control of Contr	12	Notre Dame, U of	2 : 4	1 : 7	3 : 20	6 : 31	16.2%	5.4%	10.8%	21.6%	2.7%	18.9%	62.2%	8.1%	54.1%	16.2%	83.8%
Description of the content of the	14	North Carolina, U of, Chapel Hill					29.3%	7.3%	22.0%	9.8%	2.4%	7.3%	61.0%	9.8%	51.2%	19.5%	80.5%
March Marc																	
The control of the co		Texas, U of, Austin				4 : 25	17.2%	3.4%	13.8%	13.8%	6.9%	6.9%	69.0%	3.4%	65.5%	13.8%	86.2%
Company	20	Emory U	2 : 4	1 : 3		3 : 21	25.0%	8.3%	16.7%	16.7%	4.2%	12.5%	58.3%	0.0%	58.3%	12.5%	87.5%
Column	22	Cornell U (Ithaca)	2 : 3	0 : 4	2 : 19	4 : 26	16.7%	6.7%	10.0%	13.3%	0.0%	13.3%	70.0%	6.7%	63.3%	13.3%	86.7%
No. Control	24	Washington, U of, Seattle	1 : 10	1 : 4	3 : 16	5 : 30	31.4%	2.9%	28.6%	14.3%	2.9%	11.4%	54.3%	8.6%	45.7%	14.3%	85.7%
The content of the	26	Yale U	1 : 5	0 : 1	2 : 15	3 : 21	25.0%	4.2%	20.8%	4.2%	0.0%	4.2%	70.8%	8.3%	62.5%	12.5%	87.5%
1	28	Vanderbilt Uc	1 : 1	1 : 3	1 : 13	3 : 17	10.0%	5.0%	5.0%	20.0%	5.0%	15.0%	70.0%	5.0%	65.0%	15.0%	85.0%
Company	30	Rice U	0 : 2	1 : 3	2 : 15	3 : 20	8.7%	0.0%	8.7%	17.4%	4.3%	13.0%	73.9%	8.7%	65.2%	13.0%	87.0%
Description of the content of the	32	Arizona State U	0 : 8	7 : 6	2 : 24	9 : 38	17.0%	0.0%	17.0%	27.7%	14.9%	12.8%	55.3%	4.3%	51.1%	19.1%	80.9%
Description	34	Indiana U, Bloomington															
2. Section of Section (1971) 2.	36	Purdue U, West Lafayette															
Company of the property of t	38	Arizona, U of	3 : 4	3 : 7	2 : 21	8 : 32	17.5%	7.5%	10.0%	25.0%	7.5%	17.5%	57.5%	5.0%	52.5%	20.0%	80.0%
C. De Control	40	California, U of, Los Angeles	2 : 4	1 : 3	8 : 32	11 : 39	12.0%	4.0%	8.0%	8.0%	2.0%	6.0%	80.0%	16.0%	64.0%	22.0%	78.0%
La Design (1)	42	Ohio State U (Columbus)	3 : 8	3 : 3	7 : 26	13 : 37	22.0%	6.0%	16.0%	12.0%	6.0%	6.0%	66.0%	14.0%	52.0%	26.0%	74.0%
4 December Members (1972) 1 1 5 1 1 5 1 1 5 5	44	Kansas, U of	0 : 5	3 : 6	3 : 11	6 : 22	17.9%	0.0%	17.9%	32.1%	10.7%	21.4%	50.0%	10.7%	39.3%	21.4%	78.6%
	46	Southern Mississippi, U of	1 : 5	1 : 3	1 : 2	3 : 10	46.2%	7.7%	38.5%	30.8%	7.7%	23.1%	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	23.1%	76.9%
9. Performed Very Company Comp	48	Northeastern U															
Company of Configuration 1		Buffalo, U at															
Company Comp		Virginia, U of (Charlottesville)															
Second	54	Columbia U															
2. March March San March Conference 1.0	56	Boston U	2 : 2	2 : 6	1 : 10	5 : 18	17.4%	8.7%	8.7%	34.8%	8.7%	26.1%	47.8%	4.3%	43.5%	21.7%	78.3%
Company continger 1	58	Wayne State Uc	2 : 4	3 : 4	3 : 12	8 : 20	21.4%	7.1%	14.3%	25.0%	10.7%	14.3%	53.6%	10.7%	42.9%	28.6%	71.4%
Community of Programmer Commun	60	Virginia Polytech. Inst. & State U	2 : 3	1 : 8	3 : 14	6 : 25	16.1%	6.5%	9.7%	29.0%	3.2%	25.8%	54.8%	9.7%	45.2%	19.4%	80.6%
M. Marcher March Mingel	62	Brown U	2 : 1	1 : 3	0 : 9	3 : 13	18.8%	12.5%	6.3%	25.0%	6.3%	18.8%	56.3%	0.0%	56.3%	18.8%	81.3%
Comparigned December Comparigned December Dec	64	Texas Tech U	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Company of Resemble Company Co	66	Louisiana State U (Baton Rouge)	2 : 5	1 : 7	3 : 10	6 : 22	25.0%	7.1%	17.9%	28.6%	3.6%	25.0%	46.4%	10.7%	35.7%	21.4%	78.6%
20	68	Tennessee, U of, Knoxville	2 : 2	0 : 4	1 : 15	3 : 21	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	66.7%	4.2%	62.5%	12.5%	87.5%
22 Selection of Security	70	Nebraska, U of, Lincoln	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
24 Managery Left Development		Oklahoma, U of (Norman)	3 : 6	1 : 4	3 : 9	7 : 19	34.6%	11.5%	23.1%	19.2%	3.8%	15.4%	46.2%	11.5%	34.6%	26.9%	73.1%
The Control of Reverside 2 5 2 5 2 5 2 5 4 15 6 25 22.68 6.59 1.18 1.28 6.59 1.28 6.59 1.28 6.59 1.28 6.59 1.28 6.59 1.28		Houston, U of, Downtown	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	_	_	-	-	-	_	_	-
The property of the property	76	California, U of, Riversidec	2 : 5	2 : 5	2 : 15	6 : 25	22.6%	6.5%	16.1%	22.6%	6.5%	16.1%	54.8%	6.5%	48.4%	19.4%	80.6%
Sequence Colf, Neverted 3 2 4 1 5 2 2 6 6 25 22.06 9.7% 12.9% 12.9% 12.9% 12.9% 12.9% 12.0% 12	78	Temple Ua	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
Section Conference Confer	80	Delaware, U of, Newark	3 : 4	1 : 5	2 : 16	6 : 25	22.6%	9.7%	12.9%	19.4%	3.2%	16.1%	58.1%	6.5%	51.6%	19.4%	80.6%
Assimption in St. London. 3 Nationage 1 1 3 0 0 1 3 0 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 20 8/8 0/8 0/8 20.8 33.8 8.3 25.0 0/8 4.2 5.0 0/8 0/8 0/8 0/8 0/8 0/8 0/8 0/8 0/8 0/	82	California, U of, Santa Cruz															
Se Richester, U of Conversable U	84	Washington U in St. Louisc															
88 Viginia Commonwealth U	86	Rochester, U of	0 : 2	0 : 4	2 : 13	2 : 19	9.5%	0.0%	9.5%	19.0%	0.0%	19.0%	71.4%	9.5%	61.9%	9.5%	90.5%
100 Connecticut, U of (Storter) 1 4 1 10 1 10 3 24 18.5% 3.7%	88	Virginia Commonwealth U															
Secretified Us	90	Connecticut, U of (Storrs)															
94 Sakson State U	92	Rockefeller Ua	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	- 28.6%	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
99 (www.j.ufa	94	Jackson State U	1 : 1	1 : 4	1 : 6	3 : 11	14.3%	7.1%	7.1%								
98 Such Dakots, U of a	96 97	Iowa, U ofa Kentucky, U of, Lexington	3 : 7	1 : 4	2 : 11	6 : 22	35.7%	10.7%	25.0%	17.9%	3.6%	14.3%	46.4%	7.1%	39.3%	21.4%	78.6%
100 107		South Dakota, U ofa	0 : 3	- : -	1 : 4	1 : 12	23.1%	0.0%	23.1%	38.5%	0.0%	38.5%	38.5%	7.7%		7.7%	92.3%
Number College	100 101	Tufts U North Texas, U of	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
100 100	102	Hunter College	- : -	- : -			6.3%	0.0%	6.3%	31.3%	12.5%	18.8%					
Administry Long L	105	Duquesne U	0 : 0	3 : 4		3 : 12	0.0%	0.0%		- 46.7%	20.0%	- 26.7%	53.3%	0.0%	53.3%	20.0%	- 80.0%
Commant Un (Cincinnati) 1 3 2 8 2 10 5 21 15 4% 3.8% 11.5% 38.5% 7.7% 30.8% 6.2% 7.7% 35.5% 19.2% 90.8% 11.5%	107	Massachusetts, U of, Lowell Arkansas, U of, Fayettevillea	1 : 3	1 : 8	2 : 9	- : - 4 : 20	- 16.7%	4.2%	- 12.5%	- 37.5%	4.2%	- 33.3%	- 45.8%	- 8.3%	- 37.5%	- 16.7%	- 83.3%
1310 Feas, Uof, Dallas	108	Cincinnati, U of (Cincinnati)	1 : 3	2 : 8	2 : 10	5 : 21	15.4%	3.8%	11.5%	38.5%							80.8%
1312 Gensor U :		Texas, U of, Dallas Rensselaer Polytech. Inst.	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_
1314 Sample Mellion U = :	112	Oklahoma State U (Stillwater)	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	38.1%	9.5%	28.6%	9.5%	4.8%	4.8%	52.4%	4.8%	47.6%	19.0%	81.0%
116 Missouri, Unf, Columbia 1	114	Xaivier U (Louisiana) Carnegie Mellon U	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	=	_	_	_	_	_	=		=	_	_
1318 Albamy U - 1 - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - : - :	116	Missouri, U of, Columbia	- : -				_ 27.8%	- 5.6%	_ 22.2%		- 11.1%	- 11.1%	50.0%	5.6%	44.4%	_ 22.2%	
120 Rode Island, U of 1 : 2 0 0 : 1 1 : 8 2 : 11 23.1% 7.7% 15.4% 7.7% 0.0% 7.7% 69.2% 7.7% 69.2% 7.7% 61.5% 15.4% 84.6% 125. Maryland, U of Baltimore County 2 : 5 2 : 3 1 : 7 5 : 15 35.0% 16.7% 0.0% 16.7% 83.9% 83.9% 0.0% 75.0% 16.7% 16.7% 58.3% 25.0% 75.0% 10.0%	118	Albany U	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	-
240 Brandes U. 0 : 2 1 : 0 2 : 7 3 : 9 16.7% 0.0% 16.7% 8.3% 8.3% 0.0% 75.0% 16.7% 58.3% 25.0% 75.0% Cumulative total (if departments) 151 : 377 134 : 410 240 : 1402 525 : 2189 Cumulative with a constant with	120	Rhode Island, U of	1 : 2	0 : 1	1 : 8	2 : 11	23.1%	7.7%	15.4%	7.7%	0.0%	7.7%	69.2%	7.7%	61.5%	15.4%	84.6%
Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within professional rank Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within professional rank Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within entire department Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within entire department Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within entire department Cumulative % of faculty, within Sex 13.9% 4.9% 15.1% 8.8% 15.17% — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — — —	140	Brandels U.	0 : 2	1 : 0	2 : 7	3 : 9	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	8.3%	8.3%	0.0%	75.0%	16.7%	58.3%	25.0%	75.0%
professional rank Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within entire department Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within 1 5.6% : 11.9%																	
25.5% : 13.9% 4.9% : 15.1% 8.8% : 51.7%	professi	onal rank				19.3% : 80.7%		-	-	-	-		_	_		-	_
	entire de	epartment				-		-	-	-	-		_	_		-	
			19.5%	20.0%	60.5%	_	_	-	-	-	-	_	-	-	_	-	_

NOTE: Data reflects the numbers of research-active tenured and tenure-track faculty with at least a 50% appointment in the department most closely identified as chemistry. This is a data set is from schools ranked in the top 75. Institutions rainings were identified by the National Science Foundation, based on speeding by Chemistry research programs in facil eyes 2013.

* Did not reply to requests for information
* Declined to participate
* Of the NSF ranked top 75 schools, 7 schools did not participate in the faculty demographic survey.