## OXIDE 2019 Faculty Demographics Survey: Results for AY2015-16 (Rankd NSF-15)

		Total Faculty in Department, AY2015-16				# Gender Assistant Profs AY2015-16			% Gender Associate Profs AY2015-16			% Gender Full Profs AY2015-16			% Gender Faculty AY2015-16	
FY 2015	Institution	Assistant # of # of	Associate # of # of	# of # of	Totals # of # of	% Assistant,	Totals % Assistant,	% Assistant,	% Associate,	% Associate,	% Associate,	% Full,	Totals % Full,	% Full,	% Faculty,	% Faculty,
Rank 1	California, U of, San Francisco	Female : Male	Female : Male — : —	Female : Male	Female : Male	All —	Female —	Male —	All —	Female —	Male —	All —	Female —	Male —	Female —	Male —
2	California Inst. of Tech. California, U of, San Diego	0 : 3 1 : 10	0 : 0 3 : 10	7 : 29 4 : 22	7 : 32 8 : 42	7.7% 22.0%	0.0% 2.0%	7.7% 20.0%	0.0% 26.0%	0.0% 6.0%	0.0% 20.0%	92.3% 52.0%	17.9% 8.0%	74.4% 44.0%	17.9% 16.0%	82.1% 84.0%
4	Northwestern U	- : -	3 : 10	4 : 22	8 : 42	22.0%	2.0%	20.0%	26.0%	6.0%	20.0%	52.0%	8.0%	44.0%	16.0%	84.0%
5	Illinois, U of, Urbana-Champaign	3 : 5	0 : 1	6 : 20	9 : 26	22.9%	8.6%	14.3%	2.9%	0.0%	2.9%	74.3%	17.1%	57.1%	25.7%	74.3%
6 7	Harvard U California, U of, Berkeley	1 : 0 3 : 5	1 : 0	2 : 15 3 : 32	4 : 15 7 : 37	5.3% 18.2%	5.3% 6.8%	0.0% 11.4%	5.3% 2.3%	5.3% 2.3%	0.0%	89.5% 79.5%	10.5% 6.8%	78.9% 72.7%	21.1% 15.9%	78.9% 84.1%
8	Vanderbilt U Georgia Inst. of Tech. (Atlanta)	1 : 2 2 : 2	1 : 0 3 : 5	1 : 14 1 : 20	3 : 16 6 : 27	15.8% 12.1%	5.3% 6.1%	10.5% 6.1%	5.3% 24.2%	5.3% 9.1%	0.0% 15.2%	78.9% 63.6%	5.3% 3.0%	73.7% 60.6%	15.8% 18.2%	84.2% 81.8%
10	Texas A&M U, College Station	0 : 5	1 : 5	5 : 26	6 : 36	11.9%	0.0%	11.9%	14.3%	2.4%	11.9%	73.8%	11.9%	61.9%	14.3%	85.7%
11 12	Michigan, U of, Ann Arbor Massachusetts Inst. of Tech.	5 : 5	1 : 5	4 : 19 4 : 15.5	10 : 29 6 : 23.5	25.6% 27.1%	12.8% 3.4%	12.8% 23.7%	15.4% 6.8%	2.6% 3.4%	12.8% 3.4%	59.0% 66.1%	10.3% 13.6%	48.7% 52.5%	25.6% 20.3%	74.4% 79.7%
13	Princeton U	1 : 4	2 : 0	1 : 17	4 : 21	20.0%	4.0%	16.0%	8.0%	8.0%	0.0%	72.0%	4.0%	68.0%	16.0%	84.0%
14 15	Emory U	2 : 3	1 : 4	0 : 14 4 : 24	3 : 21 6 : 31	20.8%	8.3%	12.5%	20.8%	4.2%	16.7%	58.3%	0.0%	58.3%	12.5%	87.5%
16	Wisconsin, U of, Madison Rutgers U, New Brunswick	1 : 4	1 : 3 2 : 2	4 : 24 8 : 22	6 : 31 11 : 25	13.5% 5.6%	2.7%	10.8% 2.8%	10.8% 11.1%	2.7% 5.6%	8.1% 5.6%	75.7% 83.3%	10.8% 22.2%	64.9% 61.1%	16.2% 30.6%	83.8% 69.4%
17 18	Notre Dame, U of Colorado, U of, Boulder	1 : 3	1 : 7	4 : 22 6 : 24	6 : 32 9 : 33	10.5% 14.3%	2.6% 7.1%	7.9% 7.1%	21.1% 14.3%	2.6% 0.0%	18.4% 14.3%	68.4% 71.4%	10.5% 14.3%	57.9% 57.1%	15.8% 21.4%	84.2% 78.6%
19	Yale U	1 : 5	0 : 0	2 : 17	3 : 22	24.0%	4.0%	20.0%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	76.0%	8.0%	68.0%	12.0%	88.0%
20	California, U of, Irvine Johns Hopkins U	3 : 4 2 : 3	6 : 2	3 : 29 0 : 12	12 : 35 3 : 18	14.9% 23.8%	6.4% 9.5%	8.5% 14.3%	17.0% 19.0%	12.8% 4.8%	4.3% 14.3%	68.1% 57.1%	6.4% 0.0%	61.7% 57.1%	25.5% 14.3%	74.5% 85.7%
22	Stanford U	2 : 4	1 : 3	2 : 13	5 : 20	24.0%	8.0%	16.0%	16.0%	4.0%	12.0%	60.0%	8.0%	52.0%	20.0%	80.0%
23 24	Cornell U (Ithaca) Ohio State U (Columbus)	2 : 5 2 : 9	0 : 4	2 : 19 6 : 25	4 : 28 12 : 37	21.9% 22.4%	6.3% 4.1%	15.6% 18.4%	12.5% 14.3%	0.0% 8.2%	12.5% 6.1%	65.6% 63.3%	6.3% 12.2%	59.4% 51.0%	12.5% 24.5%	87.5% 75.5%
25	Washington, U of, Seattle	2 : 12	1 : 2	4 : 18	7 : 32	35.9%	5.1%	30.8%	7.7%	2.6%	5.1%	56.4%	10.3%	46.2%	17.9%	82.1%
26 27	Texas, U of, Austin Minnesota, U of, Twin Cities	2 : 3	1 : 2	1 : 20	4 : 25 9 : 31	17.2% 12.5%	6.9% 2.5%	10.3% 10.0%	10.3% 17.5%	3.4% 10.0%	6.9% 7.5%	72.4% 70.0%	3.4% 10.0%	69.0% 60.0%	13.8% 22.5%	86.2% 77.5%
28	North Carolina, U of, Chapel Hill	4 : 7	1 : 4	4 : 21	9 : 32	26.8%	9.8%	17.1%	12.2%	2.4%	9.8%	61.0%	9.8%	51.2%	22.0%	78.0%
29 30	Florida State U (Tallahassee) Rice U	1 : 5	0 : 7	3 : 16 1 : 15	4 : 28 2 : 21	18.8% 4.3%	3.1% 0.0%	15.6% 4.3%	21.9% 26.1%	0.0% 4.3%	21.9% 21.7%	59.4% 69.6%	9.4% 4.3%	50.0% 65.2%	12.5% 8.7%	87.5% 91.3%
31	Pennsylvania State U (Univ. Park)	1 : 5	0 : 9	3 : 17	4 : 31	17.1%	2.9%	14.3%	25.7%	0.0%	25.7%	57.1%	8.6%	48.6%	11.4%	88.6%
32 33	Purdue U, West Lafayette Akron, U of	3 : 5 2 : 4	5 : 6 0 : 1	5 : 21 1 : 7	13 : 32 3 : 12	17.8% 40.0%	6.7% 13.3%	11.1% 26.7%	24.4% 6.7%	11.1% 0.0%	13.3% 6.7%	57.8% 53.3%	11.1% 6.7%	46.7% 46.7%	28.9% 20.0%	71.1% 80.0%
34	Chicago, U of	0 : 6	0 : 0	3 : 19	3 : 25	21.4%	0.0%	21.4%	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	78.6%	10.7%	67.9%	10.7%	89.3%
35 36	Indiana U, Bloomington Houston, U of, Downtown	2 : 3	1 : 7	3 : 18	6 : 28	14.7%	5.9%	8.8%	23.5%	2.9%	20.6%	61.8%	8.8%	52.9%	17.6%	82.4%
37	California, U of, Los Angeles	1 : 5	1 : 3	9 : 27	11 : 35	13.0%	2.2%	10.9%	8.7%	2.2%	6.5%	78.3%	19.6%	58.7%	23.9%	76.1%
38 39	Massachusetts, U of, Amherst Stony Brook U	2 : 3 2 : 7	2 : 1 1 : 5	1 : 13 4 : 17	5 : 17 7 : 29	22.7% 25.0%	9.1% 5.6%	13.6% 19.4%	13.6% 16.7%	9.1% 2.8%	4.5% 13.9%	63.6% 58.3%	4.5% 11.1%	59.1% 47.2%	22.7% 19.4%	77.3% 80.6%
40	Southern California, U of	1 : 7	0 : 8	2 : 19	3 : 34	21.6%	2.7%	18.9%	21.6%	0.0%	21.6%	56.8%	5.4%	51.4%	8.1%	91.9%
41 42	Pittsburgh, U of, Pittsburgh Arizona, U of	2 : 7	2 : 6	1 : 15 2 : 21	5 : 28 8 : 30	27.3% 18.4%	6.1% 7.9%	21.2% 10.5%	24.2% 21.1%	6.1% 7.9%	18.2% 13.2%	48.5% 60.5%	3.0% 5.3%	45.5% 55.3%	15.2% 21.1%	84.8% 78.9%
43	Arizona State U	0 : 13	5 : 4	4 : 26	9 : 43	25.0%	0.0%	25.0%	17.3%	9.6%	7.7%	57.7%	7.7%	50.0%	17.3%	82.7%
44 45	California, U of, Davis Iowa State U	3 : 7	2 : 3 2 : 4	6 : 24 2 : 11	11 : 34 5 : 21	22.2% 26.9%	6.7% 3.8%	15.6% 23.1%	11.1% 23.1%	4.4% 7.7%	6.7% 15.4%	66.7% 50.0%	13.3% 7.7%	53.3% 42.3%	24.4% 19.2%	75.6% 80.8%
46	Utah, U of	4 : 4	1 : 2	4 : 19	9 : 25	23.5%	11.8%	11.8%	8.8%	2.9%	5.9%	67.6%	11.8%	55.9%	26.5%	73.5%
47 48	Maryland, U of, College Park Kansas, U of	0 : 3	1 : 5	6 : 18 3 : 10	7 : 26 6 : 20	9.1% 15.4%	0.0%	9.1% 15.4%	18.2% 34.6%	3.0% 11.5%	15.2% 23.1%	72.7% 50.0%	18.2% 11.5%	54.5% 38.5%	21.2% 23.1%	78.8% 76.9%
49 50	Columbia U South Carolina, U of, Columbia	4 : 6	2 : 4	- : - 3 : 17	9 : 27	_ 27.8%	- 11.1%	- 16.7%	- 16.7%	- 5.6%	- 11.1%	- 55.6%	- 8.3%	- 47.2%	_ 25.0%	- 75.0%
51	Pennsylvania, U of	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	_	-	-	_	-	-	-	-	-	_
52 53	Wayne State U Washington State U	0 : 0	3 : 4	3 : 14	6 : 18	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	29.2%	12.5%	16.7%	70.8%	12.5%	58.3%	25.0%	75.0% —
54	Southern Mississippi, U of	1 : 4	0 : 3	1 : 1	2 : 8	50.0%	10.0%	40.0%	30.0%	0.0%	30.0%	20.0%	10.0%	10.0%	20.0%	80.0%
55 56	Florida, U of Montana State U, Bozeman	1 : 2 0 : 2	3 : 9	2 : 17 3 : 9	6 : 28 4 : 12	8.8% 12.5%	2.9% 0.0%	5.9% 12.5%	35.3% 12.5%	8.8% 6.3%	26.5% 6.3%	55.9% 75.0%	5.9% 18.8%	50.0% 56.3%	17.6% 25.0%	82.4% 75.0%
57 58	California, U of, Santa Barbara New York U	2 : 3	- : - 1 : 2	- : - 1 : 16	- : - 4 : 21	- 20.0%	- 8.0%	- 12.0%	- 12.0%	4.0%	- 8.0%	- 68.0%	4.0%	- 64.0%	- 16.0%	- 84.0%
59	Boston U	2 : 2	2 : 4	1 : 12	5 : 18	17.4%	8.7%	8.7%	26.1%	8.7%	17.4%	56.5%	4.3%	52.2%	21.7%	78.3%
60 61	Colorado State U, Fort Collins Michigan State U	2 : 4 0 : 5	2 : 4 1 : 4	4 : 12 2 : 22	8 : 20 3 : 31	21.4% 14.7%	7.1% 0.0%	14.3% 14.7%	21.4% 14.7%	7.1% 2.9%	14.3% 11.8%	57.1% 70.6%	14.3% 5.9%	42.9% 64.7%	28.6% 8.8%	71.4% 91.2%
62	Northeastern U	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
65 67	Buffalo, U at Virginia Polytech. Inst. & State U	1 : 7 2 : 5	1 : 2 1 : 6	3 : 15 2 : 14	5 : 24 5 : 25	27.6% 23.3%	3.4% 6.7%	24.1% 16.7%	10.3% 23.3%	3.4% 3.3%	6.9% 20.0%	62.1% 53.3%	10.3% 6.7%	51.7% 46.7%	17.2% 16.7%	82.8% 83.3%
69	Oklahoma, U of (Norman)	5 : 6	1 : 4	3 : 9	9 : 19	39.3%	17.9%	21.4%	17.9%	3.6%	14.3%	42.9%	10.7%	32.1%	32.1%	67.9%
70 71	North Carolina State U Louisiana State U (Baton Rouge)	1 : 5	- : - 2 : 6	- : - 3 : 12	- : - 6 : 23	20.7%	3.4%	- 17.2%	- 27.6%	6.9%	20.7%	- 51.7%	10.3%	41.4%	20.7%	- 79.3%
72	Washington U in St. Louis	0 : 4	1 : 6	1 : 12	2 : 22	16.7%	0.0%	16.7%	29.2%	4.2%	25.0%	54.2%	4.2%	50.0%	8.3%	91.7%
73 74	Georgia, U of, Athens Duke U	4 : 3	0 : 3	2 : 11	6 : 17	30.4%	17.4%	13.0%	13.0%	0.0%	13.0%	- 56.5%	- 8.7%	47.8%	26.1%	73.9%
76	Virginia, U of (Charlottesville)	2 : 5	2 : 1	1 : 14	5 : 20	28.0%	8.0%	20.0%	12.0%	8.0%	4.0%	60.0%	4.0%	56.0%	20.0%	80.0%
77 81	Nebraska, U of, Lincoln Brown U	1 : 6	1 : 3	1 : 14	3 : 23	26.9% —	3.8%	23.1%	15.4% —	3.8%	11.5%	57.7% —	3.8%	53.8%	11.5% —	88.5% —
82 83	Tennessee, U of, Knoxville Central Florida, U of, Orlando	- : - 4 : 4	- : - 0 : 6	- : - 1 : 7	- : - 5 : 17	- 36.4%	- 18.2%	- 18.2%	- 27.3%	- 0.0%	- 27.3%	- 36.4%	- 4.5%	- 31.8%	_ 22.7%	- 77.3%
	Texas Tech U	- : -	- : -	- : -	- : -	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	_
86 87	Oregon, U of Iowa, U of	1 : 2 5 : 2	1 : 5 3 : 9	4 : 12 2 : 9	6 : 19 10 : 20	12.0% 23.3%	4.0% 16.7%	8.0% 6.7%	24.0% 40.0%	4.0% 10.0%	20.0% 30.0%	64.0% 36.7%	16.0% 6.7%	48.0% 30.0%	24.0% 33.3%	76.0% 66.7%
88	California, U of, Riverside	4 : 5	1 : 6	1 : 8	6 : 19	36.0%	16.0%	20.0%	28.0%	4.0%	24.0%	36.0%	4.0%	32.0%	24.0%	76.0%
91 97	Rochester, U of Oklahoma State U (Stillwater)	1 : 3 2 : 7	0 : 4 1 : 0	1 : 11 1 : 11	2 : 18 4 : 18	20.0% 40.9%	5.0% 9.1%	15.0% 31.8%	20.0% 4.5%	0.0% 4.5%	20.0%	60.0% 54.5%	5.0% 4.5%	55.0% 50.0%	10.0% 18.2%	90.0% 81.8%
100	Arkansas, U of, Fayetteville	1 : 4	1 : 9	2 : 8	4 : 21	20.0%	4.0%	16.0%	40.0%	4.0%	36.0%	40.0%	8.0%	32.0%	16.0%	84.0%
101 102	Jackson State U Tufts U	0 : 2 2 : 1	1 : 1 0 : 5	1 : 11 2 : 7	2 : 14 4 : 13	12.5% 17.6%	0.0% 11.8%	12.5% 5.9%	12.5% 29.4%	6.3% 0.0%	6.3% 29.4%	75.0% 52.9%	6.3% 11.8%	68.8% 41.2%	12.5% 23.5%	87.5% 76.5%
110	Hunter College	0 : 0	2 : 3	4 : 6	6 : 9	0.0%	0.0%	0.0%	33.3%	13.3%	20.0%	66.7%	26.7%	40.0%	40.0%	60.0%
115 117	Duquesne U Cincinnati, U of (Cincinnati)	0 : 0 1 : 0	1 : 4 2 : 8	2 : 7 2 : 10	3 : 11 5 : 18	0.0% 4.3%	0.0% 4.3%	0.0%	35.7% 43.5%	7.1% 8.7%	28.6% 34.8%	64.3% 52.2%	14.3% 8.7%	50.0% 43.5%	21.4% 21.7%	78.6% 78.3%
136	Alabama, U of, Tuscaloosa	0 : 5	1 : 8	1 : 10	2 : 23	20.0%	0.0%	20.0%	36.0%	4.0%	32.0%	44.0%	4.0%	40.0%	8.0%	92.0%
Cumulative total (# departments) <sup>j</sup>		124 : 321	106 : 296	211 : 1204.5	441 : 1821.5	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within professional rank		27.9% : 72.1%	26.4% : 73.6%	14.9% : 85.1%	19.5% : 80.5%	_	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-	-
Cumulative % of faculty by gender, within		5.5% : 14.2%	4.7% : 13.1%	9.3% : 53.2%	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_	_
entire department Cumulative % of faculty, within																
professional rank		19.7%	17.8%	62.6%	-	_	-	_	-	_	_	-	-	_	-	_

NOTE: Data reflects the numbers of research-active tenured and tenure-track faculty with at least a 50% appointment in the department most closely identified as chemistry. This is a data set is from schools ranked in the top 75. Institutions rankings were identified by the National Science Foundation, based on spending by chemistry research programs in fiscal year 2014.